

1
2
3
4
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
6 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
7

8 KENNETH A. HENDREN,

9 Petitioner,

2:16-cv-00361-APG-PAL

10 vs.

ORDER

11 BRIAN E. WILLIAMS, *et al.*,

12 Respondents.
13 _____/

14
15 In this habeas corpus action, brought *pro se* by Nevada prisoner Kenneth A. Hendren, after
16 two extensions of time, the first for 45 days, and the second for 46 days, the respondents were due to
17 respond to Hendren's petition for writ of habeas corpus by September 19, 2016. *See* Order entered
18 April 20, 2016 (ECF No. 5); Order entered June 20, 2016 (ECF No. 9); Order entered August 8,
19 2016 (ECF No. 11).

20 On September 19, 2016, respondents filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 12),
21 requesting a further 45-day extension of the time to respond to Hendren's habeas petition. Counsel
22 for respondents states that the extension of time is necessary because of his obligations in other
23 cases. The court finds that respondents' motion is made in good faith and not solely for the purpose
24 of delay, and that there is good cause for the extension of time. The court will grant respondents a
25 third extension of time. *The court will not be inclined to further extend this deadline absent a*
26 *showing of extraordinary circumstances.*

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in all other respects, the schedule for further proceedings set forth in the order entered on April 20, 2016 (ECF No. 5) shall remain in effect.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE